April, 1934

show that the deviations from the ideal solution decrease with increasing concentrations of urea.

The slope of the curve for that portion of it which may be represented by a straight line (AB), is -757.58, with the intercept at 0.002464 on the 1/T axis. This corresponds to a heat of fusion<sup>4</sup> of 3470 (approx.) and a melting point of 132.7°.

The values obtained by Shnidman and Sunier in the neighborhood of  $70^{\circ}$  are appreciably higher than those obtained here. While it is true that the tendency of our method would be in the direction of low results, despite precautions taken against loss of water, the values obtained are in good agreement with those of Pinck and Kelly.<sup>3</sup> Furthermore, it is clear that the curve of Shnidman and Sunier cannot continue as a straight line if it is to terminate in the melting point of urea. There must be a change in slope and curvature.

(4) For perfect solutions the differential heat of solution is equivalent to the heat of fusion: Lewis and Randall, "Thermodynamics," McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1923, p. 229. From the fact that Shnidman and Sunier agree well with Pinck and Kelly up to  $50^{\circ}$  (except for the  $20^{\circ}$  point) and from the nature of the curve obtained in this work, it is probable that in the neighborhood of  $70^{\circ}$  the values of Shnidman and Sunier are high and those obtained here somewhat low. The true curve should probably show a gradual transition from the one curve to the other in this region as indicated in Fig. 1.

#### Summary

1. The solubility of urea in water between  $70^{\circ}$  and the melting point of urea (132.7°) has been determined by the synthetic method.

2. The results when plotted on a log N vs. 1/T basis show that the urea-water solutions appear ideal when the mole fraction of urea is greater than approximately 0.6.

3. The heat of fusion of urea is calculated to be 3470 cal./mole.

WILMINGTON, DELAWARE RECEIVED DECEMBER 21, 1933

## The Heat Capacities of Magnesium, Zinc, Lead, Manganese and Iron Carbonates at Low Temperatures<sup>1</sup>

#### By C. TRAVIS ANDERSON<sup>2</sup>

This report on the carbonates of magnesium, zinc, lead, manganese and iron supplements earlier publications<sup>3</sup> relative to the carbonates of the first periodic group and the alkaline earth group.

The method, apparatus and accuracy have been described previously.<sup>4</sup>

**Materials.**—In Table I are shown the materials used. All the samples were crushed and screened to -14 + 35 mesh. Carbon tetrachloride was used in determining the densities of the carbonates by the precise method described in a previous paper.<sup>3b</sup>

The Specific Heats.—The experimental results obtained for the magnesium, zinc, lead, manganese and iron carbonates are shown in Tables II, III,

(1) Published by permission of the Director, U. S. Bureau of Mines. (Not subject to copyright.)

(2) Assistant Physical Chemist, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Pacific Rxperiment Station, Berkeley, Calif.
(3) (a) Anderson, THIS JOURNAL, 55, 3621 (1933); (b) 56, 340

(3) (a) Anderson, THIS JOURNAL, 03, 3021 (1933); (b) 06, 340 (1934).
 (4) Anderson, *ibid.*, 52, 2296, 2712 (1930); 54, 107 (1932); 55,

(4) Anderson, ibid., 52, 2296, 2712 (1930); 54, 107 (1932); 55, 3621 (1933).

IV, V and VI, respectively. The data, given in gram calories  $(15^{\circ})$  per gram formula weight, have been corrected for the impurities as previously indicated. The calculations were made on the basis of Mg, 24.32; Zn, 65.37; Pb, 207.20: Mn, 54.93; Fe, 55.84; C, 12.00; and O, 16.00.

No previous low temperature measurements have been made on any of these carbonates. The results obtained in this investigation on the heat capacities of magnesite, smithsonite and cerussite are shown graphically in Fig. 1. Figure 2 gives the graphic representations of the heat capacities of rhodochrosite and siderite.

Calculation of Entropies.—The conventional method was used in calculating the entropies. The experimental heat capacity curves coincided at low temperatures with Debye functions having the following parameters ( $\Theta$ ): for magnesite, 354; smithsonite, 243; cerussite, 90; rhodochrosite, 223; and siderite 179. Combinations of Debye and Einstein functions were made to fit

<sup>[</sup>CONTRIBUTION FROM THE PACIFIC EXPERIMENT STATION, BUREAU OF MINES, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA]

# C. TRAVIS ANDERSON

				Т	ABLE I					
				MATE	RIALS US	ED				
				Density						Impurities
Material	Source	Sample,	Density	temp.	Purity (	7.		Impuriti	<b>a</b> c	corrected
Mamonito	Snorum	5. 179 5	0 0709	01 /	20 7	10			20	Calaita
(MgCO <sub>3</sub> )	Norway	173.0	2.9798	21,4	89.7			9.0% Cat 1.3% Fe(	203 203	Siderite
Smithsonite (ZnCO <sub>3</sub> )	Marion Co., Ark.	284.5	4.3476	23.2	99.8			0.1% SiO .1% FeO	<sup>1</sup> 2 CO3	None
Cerussite (PbCO <sub>3</sub> )		360.5	6.5329	22.1	100.2 or molyb	i c <mark>onvers</mark> ion date	to	None		None
Rhodochrosite (MnCO3)		223.2	3.6333	21.4	97.8			0.1% SiO .1% FeC 2.0% CaC	<sup>1</sup> 2 CO <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	Calcite
Siderite (FeCO3)	Germany	228.5	3.8507	20.7	88.29			1.90% Ca 5.19% M 4.62% M	aCO₃ nCO₃ gCO₃	Calcite Rhodochrosite Magnesite
	TABLE	T				226 6	18	67	266 2	20 17
Hute Conterest	TABLE I		7	- 16.0		220.0	18	81	200.2	20.17
HEAT CAPACITY	PER GRAM FOI	KMULA V	VEIGHT C	OF MAG	-	237 3	18	99	284 2	20.40
r °r	C.	<i>ጥ</i> የ፳	C.			241 4	19	26	288.8	20.65
56.3	1 584	160 3	11 S	o s		256.1	19	81	293 6	20.80
60.7	1 998	184 0	12.7	75 75				-	20010	20.00
69.6	2 797	201.3	12.7	0 12					7	
83.0	4 100	201.0	15.0	י <u>ש</u> ספ		~		IABLE	×	
107 6	<del>1</del> .133 6 647	229.2	16.4	.ບ   ດ	HEAT	CAPACITY	PER (	GRAM FORM	iula We	EIGHT OF RHODO-
107.0	0.047	200.0	17.9	12 De				CHROSIT	E	
121.0	0.769	273.0	17.0	50 \1		<i>Т</i> , °К.	С	p	<i>T</i> , ⁰K.	Cp
14(1.7	9.708	291.0	17.8	91		55.3	3.	462	168.9	14.02
						58.4	4.	044	185.8	14.79
	TABLE I	II				75.6	5.	834	1 <b>94</b> .0	15.35
HEAT CAPACITY	per Gram For	mula W	EIGHT OF	SMITH	-	83.2	6.	835	203.8	15.82
	SONITE	1				95.4	8.	164	220.2	16.52
<i>T</i> , ⁰K.	$C_p$	Т, °К.	С	p		105.8	9.	225	<b>248</b> .3	17.68
58.7	3,611	141.8	11.	85		115.0	10.	14	252.0	17.55
61.4	3.957	158.4	12.	95		125.5	11.	04	254.6	17.74
64.1	4.271	182.0	14.	29		140.6	12.	19	262.0	18.27
77.1	5.725	200.1	15.	28		154.2	13.	11	289.4	19.19
80.2	6.045	218.5	16.	<b>2</b> 0		162.0	13.	59	296.8	19.43
85.0	6.622	229.3	16.	60			-*.			
88.2	6.994	241.0	17.	16				Terra V	т	
91.2	7.305	264.4	18.	05				IABLE V	1	
93.0	7.489	276.9	18	45	HEAT	CAPACITY I	per C	Fram Form	ULA WE	ight of Siderite
107.8	8.974	277 2	18	46		<i>Т</i> , °К.	$C_{2}$	p	<i>Τ</i> , °Κ.	$C_p$
122.9	10.37	288 7	18.	82		54.1	4.	117	154.5	13.64
132.2	11 12	200.1	18	96		57.6	4.	660	184.0	15.15
135.0	11 49	208.8	19	16		67.4	5.	714	211.9	16.59
1.0.0	11.45	230.0	10.	10		72.5	6.	382	240.4	17.83
	<b>m</b>					90.8	8.	489	286.0	19.34
TABLE IV						108.4	10.	38	296.3	19.57
HEAT CAPACITY PER GRAM FORMULA WEIGHT OF CERUS- SITE					-	131.8	12.	14		
Т, °К.	$C_p$	<i>T</i> , ⁰K.	C	p	<b>+1</b> - ~		6-1 1	oot or	a mar f	manufa matulat
53.6	8.180	151.2	16.	15	une e	zxperimen	uai n	eat curve	s per Io	minua weights
57.3	8.532	166.4	16.	72	of th	iese carbo	nate	s. All t	ne curv	es were fitted,
75.0	10.90	170.9	16.	92	using	g five fun	ctior	ns, a Deb	ye, two	o Einstein and
90.0	12.42	183.2	17.	23	the 1	last two e	ither	Debve o	r Einst	tein. The last
109.6	13.85	193.5	17.	58	two	functions	010	11 the cor	honate	s did not fit os
129.0	15.04	199.2	17.	84	11		a	a attan	sonate:	and not nt as
134.9	15.33	209.4	18.	21	weil	as most	or th	ie otner	materia	us which have
136.6	15.46	209.4	18.	22	been	worked	on ii	n this La	borator	y; the curves
147.0	15.94	219.2	18.	55	gene	rally fell i	n be	tween a I	Debye a	nd an Einstein







Fig. 2.—The heat capacities of rhodochrosite and siderite in calories per gram formula weight.

TABLE	VII
-------	-----

		ENTROPY DATA			
	Magnesite	Smithsonite	Cerussite	Rhodochrosite	Siderite
Extrap. (0-56.2) °K.	0.57	1.45	6.45	1.65	2.33
Graph. (56.2-298.1)°K.	15.17	18.25	24.82	18.87	19.92
S°298 graphical	$15.7 \pm 0.2$	<b>19.7 =</b> 0.3	$31.3 \pm 0.8$	<b>20.5</b> ± 0.3	<b>22.2 ±</b> 0.4
$S^{\circ}_{298}$ calcd, from functions	15.8	19.6	31.4	20.3	21.7

function. The following combinations were found to fit the specific heat curves to about 200°.

$$C_{\text{magnesite}} = D \frac{(354)}{T} + 2E \frac{(468)}{T} + 2D \frac{(1994)}{T}$$

$$C_{\text{smithsonite}} = D \frac{(243)}{T} + 2E \frac{(393)}{T} + 2E \frac{(1279)}{T}$$

$$C_{\text{cerussite}} = D \frac{(89)}{T} + 2E \frac{(241)}{T} + 2D \frac{(1692)}{T}$$

$$C_{\text{rhodochrosite}} = D \frac{(223)}{T} + 2E \frac{(388)}{T} + 2D \frac{(2018)}{T}$$

$$C_{\text{siderite}} = D \frac{(179)}{T} + 2E \frac{(370)}{T} + 2D \frac{(2315)}{T}$$

The results of the entropy calculations, from the experimental heat capacity data and the function sums, are given in Table VII. No related thermal data in connection with these carbonates will be discussed at present. A separate paper will be prepared shortly at this Laboratory to deal with the correlative data presented in this and two previous series of experiments dealing with metallic carbonates.

### Summary

The heat capacities of magnesium carbonate (magnesite), zinc carbonate (smithsonite), lead carbonate (cerussite), manganese carbonate (rhodochrosite), and iron carbonate (siderite) from about 55 to 300°K. have been measured and their corresponding entropies determined as 15.7, 19.7, 31.3, 20.5 and 22.2, respectively.

BERKELEY, CALIF. RECEIVED DECEMBER 22, 1933